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## Introduction

- The production of a narrative text is a complex task: linearization and referential cohesion are essential.
- Linearization $=$ cognitive researches: initial position, salience.
= discourse linguistics: theme, topic, old information, degree of communicative dynamism (Ho-Dac 2007).
- The present study $=$ searching the relationship between both of these directions.
- Topic and focus $=$ terminological and conceptual complexity, problems resulting from the different analytical levels (syntactic, semantic, functional, cognitive, psychological) $\rightarrow$ different research purposes (Galmiche 1992) $\rightarrow$ frequent confusion of the syntactic and discursive factors of the polysemic notions of the topic and the focus.


## Characteristics of Hungarian Language

- Agglutinative language of the Finno-Ugrian language family.
- Defined as having SVO (subject-verb-object) canonical word order - very flexible and perhaps best described as being pragmatically determined (topic-focus-comment information flow).
- Pro-drop, transitive verb forms can include an object marker (2 types of conjugation depending on the definiteness of the object: general conjugation and definite conjugation).
- Each argument is case-marked for grammatical relation (17 cases).
- No gender.


## Adopted definitions in this study (1abc):

- Discursive local topic: aboutness, perspective + connectivity functions, background, known or deductible, weak degree of the communicative dynamism, place anywhere in the clause;
- Discursive local focus: salience, center of attention, foreground, known or new, strong degree of the communicative dynamism, initial place in the clause.
(1) a. a fiú vett egy könyv-et.

DEF boy buy.PAS.3SG INDEF book-ACC
The boy has bought a book.

\[

\]

And [he] has lent [it] to the girl.
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { b. a } & \text { fiú } & \text { vett } & \text { egy } & \text { könyv-et. } \\ \text { DEF } & \text { boy } & \text { buy.PAS.3SG } & \text { INDEF } & \text { book-ACC }\end{array}$
DEF boy buy.PAS.3SG INDEF book-ACC
The boy has bought a book.


So, [he] has lent [it] to her.

| c. a | fiú | vett | egy | könyv-et. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEF | boy | buy.PAS.3SG | INDEF | book-ACC |

The boy has bought a book.

| a | lány | olvas-ni | akar-t | valami-t. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEF | girl | read-INF | want-PAS-3SG | something-ACC |

The girl wanted to read something.

| tehát | kölcsön-ad-t-a | neki |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| so | lend-give-PAS-3SD | PP.DAT |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ |
|  |  | focus |

So, [he] has lent [it] to her
Hypothesis: - Hungarian children $=$ association of the topic and the focus in the initial position - cognitively and linguistically easier.

- Increasing of the dissociation of the topic and the focus with age although their association remains more frequent.


## Methodology

## Corpus:

- 5 age groups: 3-year-olds, 5-year-olds, 7/8-year-olds, 11/12-year-olds, and adults of monolingual Hungarian native speakers ( 15 subjects in each group).
- The narrative task: a series of pictures with no text (Frog, Where are you? Mayer, 1969) which recounts the adventures of two principal characters (a boy and a dog) in search of their runaway frog. Over the course of the story the boy and the dog encounter a host of secondary characters (a mole, an owl, a swarm of bees and a deer).


## Coding:

- A coding system employed to account for the linguistic form and discursive function of every animate and inanimate character:
- Introduction (Dik 1997): The first mention of a character in the role of the topic and/or the focus.
- Maintain (Dik 1997): Character maintained in topic and/or focus status in the following clause.
- Promotion (Jisa 2000): Character mentioned in a role other than that of the topic and/or focus, and then used as topic and/or focus in the following clause.
- Reintroduction (Dik 1997): Character reintroduced in topic and/or focus role.

- Overall: preferred association of the topic and the focus roles (2ab).
- 3-year-olds: fewer association than the other age groups because of the use of deictic expressions.
- Frequency increasing of the dissociation of the topic and focus roles until the age of 7/8.
- 11/12-year-olds: fewer topic/focus dissociation than the 7/8-year-olds and adults.

- Overall: preferred $M$ function (2ab).
- Non significative increasing of $M$.
- Non significative decreasing of R.
- No differences in IN and in P.
(2) a. és itt van-nak a szúnyog-ok. and here be.PR-PL DEF mosquito-PL and here are the mosquitos.
itt [F+T a szúnyog-nak] le-es-ett a ház-a.
here DEF mosquito-DAT PV-fall-PAS.3S DEF house-POSS
here, the house of the mosquito has been falled. (3;03.e)
b. a kutya le-ver-t-e a darázs-fészk-et.

DEF dog PV-hit-PAS-3SD DEF bee-nest-ACC
the dog hitted down the nest of the bees.
és [F+T a kutya után] ment-ek a méh-ek.
and DEF dog behind go.PAS-3PL DEF bee-PL
and the bees have gone behind the dog. $(7 ; 07 . c)$


- Overall: preferred M.
- Significative differences in $M$ and in $R$ between children (3a) and adults (3b).
- Increasing in M and decreasing in R .
- No significative differences in IN and in P
(3) a. utána a kutya villámgyorsan el-fut-ott. then DEF dog rapidely PV-run-PAS.3S then, the dog ran away rapidely.
a kis-fiú-t meg föl-lök-t-[T e ].
DEF little-boy-ACC besides PV-push-PAS-3SD besides, [he] knocked the little boy down. $(5 ; 11 . i)$
b. hogy ott van a béku-ci.
that there be.PR.3S DEF frog-DIM that the little frog is there.
aki-t keres-t-[T ek ].
REL-ACC look.for-PAS-3PLD that [they] looked for. $(21 ; 07 . n)$

- Children groups: preference of the alternation of IN and the event focalization until the age of $7 / 8(4 a, 8 a b)$.
- Adult group: preferred IN (4b).
- Clear decreasing of the event focalization.
- Significative increasing of P and R .
- Non significative increasing of $M$.
(4) a .
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { és } & \text { fel-áll-t } & \text { rá. } \\ \text { and } & \text { PV-stand-PAS.3S } & \text { PP3S.SUB }\end{array}$
and [he] stood up on it.
$\begin{array}{lcccl}\text { amikor } & \text { még } & \text { [F egy } & \text { bagoly] } & \text { figyel-t-[T e]. } \\ \text { while } & \text { again } & \text { INDEF } & \text { owl } & \text { look.at-PAS-3SD }\end{array}$
while an owl still looking at him. $(3 ; 11.1)$
b. itt sem talál-t-a azonban a béká-t. here either find-PAS.3SD but DEF frog-ACC but [he] did not found the frog here either.

| viszont | [F egy | ideges | vakond] | meg-csíp-t-e | ${ }_{T}$ az | orr-á-t]. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but | INDEF | nerveux | mole | PV-bite-PAS-3SD | DEF | nose-POS-ACC |
| but a nervous mole had bitten his nose | $(19 \cdot 06 . d)$ |  |  |  |  |  |



- Overall: preference for lex in IN and for morph in M.
- Significative differences in P:
- 3-year-old = excessive use of morph at a cost of lex (5a); - pro increases with age.
- Significative differences in R: - children's groups until the age of $7 / 8=\operatorname{morph}(5 b)$;
- 11/12-year-olds and adults = lex.
(5) a. na meg-lát-t-a a legy-et.
na PV-perceive-PAS-3SD DEF fly-ACC
na! he perceived the fly.
aztán ki-jö[T+F tt$]$.
then PV-come.PAS.3S
then, [he] came out. (3;07.f)
b. itt ameddig alud-t.
here while sleep-PAS.3S
here, while he was sleeping.
így ki-szök-[T+F $\mathrm{O} t \mathrm{tt}]$.
like this PV-escape-PAS.3S
she escaped like this. (7;06.a)

- Overall: preference for lex in IN and in R, and for morph in M.
- 5 -year-olds: considerable use of pro in IN.
- Significative differences in M: - children until the age of $7 / 8=$ more lex (6a);
- 11/12-year-olds and adults = more morph.
- Significative differences in P: -3-year-olds = alternation between morph, pro, and lex;
- $7 / 8$-year-olds and $11 / 12$-year-olds $=$ more morph.
- Significative differences in R: - children's groups until the age of $7 / 8=$ lex;
- 11/12-year-olds and adults = lex and morph (6b).
(6) a. a kutyá-nak bele-szorul-t a fej-é-re a üveg.

DEF dog-DAT PV-get.stuck-PAS.3S DEF head-POS-SUB DEF jar the jar get stucked on the dog's head.
le-es-ett [тa kutya].
PV-fall-PAS.3S DEF dog
the dog felt down. $(8 ; 00 . b)$
b. ami mögött ott volt egy szarvas. REL behind there be.PAS.3S INDEF deer behind which there was a deer.

| aki-nek | végülis $\quad$ így | rá-dõl-[T t] | az | agancs-á-ra. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| REL-DAT | in fact | like this | PV-lean.on.PAS.3S | DEF |
| to the horn of wich he leaned on. $(11 ; 04 b)$ |  |  |  |  |



- Overall: preferred lex in IN.
- Some differences in M:
- 3-year-olds = pro and lex;
- 5-year-olds = morph and lex;
- 7/8-year-olds = lex and adv;
- 11/12-year-olds = morph;
- adults = morph and lex.
- Significative differences in P: - 3 and 5-year-olds = lex that decreases with age (7a);
- 7/8 and 11/12-year-olds = lex and adv; - adults = pro (7b).
- Significative differences in R: - preferred lex excepting 7/8-year-olds;
- 5-year-olds = more pro;
- 7/8-year-olds $=$ more adv.
(7) a. és haragud-ott a kutyá-já-ra.
and be.angry-PAS.3S DEF dog-POS-SUB
and he went angry after his dog.
$\begin{array}{lclllll}\text { de } & {[\text { F a }} & \text { kutya }] & \text { meg } & \text { meg-nyal-t- } & \text { a } & \text { ö-t. } \\ \text { but } & \text { DEF } & \text { dog } & \text { however } & \text { PV-lick-PAS-3SD } & \text { DEF } & \text { PP3S-ACC }\end{array}$
but the dog however licked him. $(5 ; 11 . i)$
b. egy kis-fiú talál-t egy nap az erdő-ben egy béká-t.

INDEF little-boy find-PAS.3SG INDEF day DEF wood-INE INDEF frog-ACC
one day, a little boy has found a frog in the wood.
[F ami-t] haza-vitt magá-val.
REL-ACC home-bring itself-COM which he brought home with him $(19 ; 11 . \mathrm{g})$


- Children: $40 \%$ of the dissociated focalization = event focus (8ab).
- Event focus considerably decreases with age.
(8) a. nincs itt a béka.
not.be.PR.3S here DEF frog
the frog is not here.

| azért mert | [EF ki-szök-ött] | a | béka. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| because | PV-escape-PAS.3S | DEF | frog |

because the frog has escaped. $(3 ; 03 . i)$

| b. és | még | hogy | szól | a | méh-ecské-k-nek. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | again | then | say a word.PR.3S | DEF | bee-DIM-PL-DAT |

and again he says a word to the little bees.


## Conclusion

- Topic/focus association is preferred while their dissociation increases with age $=$ the association is cognitively and linguistically easier $\rightarrow$ the same frequency of discursive functions attested from the age of 3 .
- More difficulty is observed in the management of discursive functions in the topic/focus dissociation until the age of 7/8.
- The dissociated focus is generally employed by the children to introduce new elements and to focalize events in the discourse $\rightarrow$ no mastery of the focus' discursive functions destined for old constituents until the age of $7 / 8 \rightarrow$ preference for event focus in this case.
- The inventory of the linguistic forms for each function is generally the same and it is already used from the age of 3 .
- The more difficult task for Hungarian children $=$ the association of the linguistic expressions to the suitable discursive functions.
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